
A separate report is submitted in the private part of the agenda in respect of this item as it contains information required to be kept private in accordance with Schedule 12A Local Government Act 1972. The grounds for privacy are that it refers to information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information), and information in respect of which a claim to legal professional privilege could be maintained in legal proceedings.

Cabinet Member (Policing & Equalities)

14th November 2014

Name of Cabinet Member:

Cabinet Member (Policing and Equalities) – Councillor Townshend

Director Approving Submission of the report:

Executive Director, Resources

Ward(s) affected:

All

Title:

Magistrates' Court Building

Is this a key decision?

No

Executive Summary:

When the Council constructed the Coventry Magistrates' Court building in the 1980s, the premises included a "Probation Suite" whose construction cost of around £1.2 million was to be met by the Council initially but repaid by the Probation Service over a period of some 40 years. Annual repayments were made until 2007. However, the Probation Service now disputes liability to make any further payments.

This matter was considered by Cabinet on 11 December 2012, where authorisation was given to commence legal proceedings to recover the outstanding loan monies. The Cabinet Member (Community Safety & Equalities) was given delegated authority to determine alternative strategies or decisions as the matter progresses and the matter was considered at Cabinet Member meetings on 24th February 2014 and 1st May 2014. This report is an update as to the progress of the proceedings to date and considers the options going forward.

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member is recommended to:

- (1) Note the progress made since the last report dated 1st May 2014 and direct that a further report be submitted for consideration at the Cabinet Member meeting on 18th December, 2014;
- (2) Authorise the Assistant Director for Legal and Democratic Services (in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities) to continue with the settlement negotiations following the mediation on 25th September 2014;
- (3) In the event that the settlement negotiations are unsuccessful, the Assistant Director for Legal and Democratic Services is authorised (in consultation with the Cabinet Member for Policing and Equalities) to pursue the court proceedings as appropriate and in the Council's best interests.

List of Appendices included:

None.

Other useful background papers:

None.

Has it been or will it be considered by Scrutiny?

No

Has it been or will it be considered by any other Council Committee, Advisory Panel or other body?

No

Will this report go to Council?

No

1. Context (or background)

1. **Dispute History**-During the 1980s, the Council constructed the Coventry Magistrates' Court, which included a Probation Suite. A proportion of the construction cost of the Suite (approximately £1.2 million) was to be met by the Council initially but repaid by the Probation Service over a period of some 40 years. However, the Probation Service disputes liability to make any payment after 2007, when ownership of the court building was transferred to Central Government.
2. The arrears of annual debt payments up to and including the financial year 2012/13 amount to a total of £491,571, and on 21st January 2013 the Council issued High Court proceedings for that sum plus interest.
3. The local Probation Service has operated through a large number of different bodies since 1990. Therefore, the Council took the precaution of naming as defendants all of the bodies identified as possibly liable, a total of 9 defendants. It has since become clear that the active Defendants are the 6th to 9th defendants i.e. Staffordshire and West Midlands Probation Trust, the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, the Lord Chancellor and the Secretary of State for Justice, and the National Offender Management Service.
4. The active Defendants filed defences disputing liability. In essence, the active defendants say that there was an occupation agreement, rather than a loan agreement, with the Council under which the Probation Service was paying money to the Council as owner of the Magistrates' Court. Therefore, since ownership of the Magistrates' Court building was transferred to Central Government in or before 2007, the Probation Service has no liability to make further payments to the Council. Further, the active defendants do not accept that any liability to pay the Council which may have arisen historically has passed to any of them as successor organisations. Finally, the 7th to 9th Defendants have counterclaimed that they overpaid the Council in 2006/7 and are entitled to be repaid almost £100,000, plus interest.
5. The active Defendants proposed an ADR process of "Early Neutral Evaluation" whereby an independent QC would simply review the parties' cases and give an opinion on the merits. The Council considered that this was inappropriate because it would not in itself bring about settlement. The Council therefore suggested that a more effective way forward was an enhanced form of mediation, in which the mediator (probably a QC), was requested to express his or her views to each party on the merits of their case. The active Defendants agreed to this approach, in the form of an Evaluative Mediation.

The Evaluative Mediation

6. On 25th September 2014, the parties attended an Evaluative Mediation with Amanda Tipples QC acting as the Mediator.
7. The Court proceedings had been stayed to enable the parties to attempt Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR). The parties have now requested that this stay be extended on the basis that if the case has not settled within 28 days of the date of the new Order, the Council will apply either to extend the stay (with the other parties' consent), or for a directions hearing to be fixed on the first available date.

2. Options considered and recommended proposal

- (i) the Options considered and recommended proposal are as set out in the accompanying private report.

3. Results of consultation undertaken

No consultation is considered to be appropriate.

4 Timetable for implementing this decision

If the case fails to settle as a result of the current negotiations with the Defendants, the Council will have the option to apply for directions to pursue its claim towards a trial at court. It may take 12 months or more for the case to come to trial in the High Court.

5. Comments from Executive Director, Resources

5.1 Financial implications

The Council has commenced legal proceedings to recover sums it considers it is lawfully entitled to, whilst recognising a duty to keep the merits of its case under review.

5.2 Legal implications

The Council has commenced legal proceedings to recover sums it considers it is lawfully entitled to, whilst recognising a duty to keep the merits of its case under review.

6. Other implications

None

6.1 How will this contribute to achievement of the Council's key objectives / corporate priorities (corporate plan/scorecard) / organisational blueprint / Local Area Agreement (or Coventry Sustainable Community Strategy)?

Money recovered in excess of costs incurred will contribute to the general financial well-being of the Council.

6.2 How is risk being managed?

This topic is dealt with in the accompanying private report.

6.3 What is the impact on the organisation?

This topic is dealt with in the accompanying private report.

6.4 Equalities / EIA

The decision to be made is not considered to have any Public Sector Equality Duty implications

6.5 Implications for (or impact on) the environment

None.

6.6 Implications for partner organisations?

None.

Report author(s): David Williams

Name and job title: Senior Solicitor

Directorate: Resources

Tel and email contact: 02467 833173 Davidd.williams@coventry.gov.uk

Enquiries should be directed to the above person.

Contributor/approver name	Title	Directorate or organisation	Date doc sent out	Date response received or approved
Contributors:				
Usha Patel	Governance Services Officer	Resources	27.10.2014	28.10.2014
Names of approvers for submission: (officers and members)				
Finance: Rachael Sugars	Finance Manager	Resources	22.10.14	03.11.2014
Legal: Christine Forde	Council Solicitor	Resources	22.10.14	03.11.2014
Members: Name	Councillor Townshend		27.10.2014	27.10.2014
Executive Director	Chris West		22.10.14	28.10.2014

This report is published on the council's website:
www.coventry.gov.uk/councilmeetings

Appendices

None